

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, DC 20549

FORM 8-K

CURRENT REPORT Pursuant
to Section 13 or 15(d) of the
Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Date of report (Date of earliest event reported): **December 10, 2015**

Enzo Biochem, Inc.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

New York

(State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation)

001-09974

(Commission File Number)

13-2866202

(IRS Employer Identification No.)

**527 Madison Avenue
New York, New York**

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

10022

(Zip Code)

(212) 583-0100

(Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

(Former Name or Former Address, if Changed Since Last Report)

Check the appropriate box below if the Form 8-K filing is intended to simultaneously satisfy the filing obligation of the registrant under any of the following provisions (*see* General Instruction A.2. below):

- Written communications pursuant to Rule 425 under the Securities Act (17 CFR 230.425)
 - Soliciting material pursuant to Rule 14a-12 under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14a-12)
 - Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 14d-2(b) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14d-2(b))
 - Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 13e-4(c) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.13e-4(c))
-

Introductory Comment

Throughout this Current Report on Form 8-K, the terms “we,” “us,” “our” and “Company” refer to Enzo Biochem, Inc.

Item 7.01 Regulation FD Disclosure

Officers and representatives of the Company will present to various investors and stockholders beginning December 10, 2015 using the presentation materials furnished as Exhibit 99.1 hereto and which are incorporated herein by reference.

The information in this report (including Exhibit 99.1) shall not be deemed to be “filed” for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “Exchange Act”), or otherwise subject to the liability of that section, and shall not be incorporated by reference into any registration statement or other document filed under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Exchange Act, except as shall be expressly set forth by specific reference in such filing.

Item 9.01. Financial Statements and Exhibits.

(d) Exhibits.

Exhibit No. **Description**

99.1 Presentation materials to be used by officers and other representatives of the Company.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned hereunto duly authorized.

ENZO BIOCHEM, INC.

Date: December 10, 2015

By: /s/ Barry W. Weiner
Barry W. Weiner
President




December Investor Presentation

December 2015
www.enzo.com



DISCLAIMER

Except for historical information, the matters discussed herein may be considered "forward-looking" statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Such statements include declarations regarding the intent, belief, or current expectations of Enzo Biochem, Inc. (the Company) and its management, including those related to cash flow, gross margins, revenues, and expenses, and are dependent on a number of factors outside of the control of the company, including, inter alia, the markets for the Company's products and services, costs of goods and services, other expenses, government regulations, litigations, and general business conditions. See Risk Factors in the Company's Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended July 31, 2015. Investors are cautioned that any such forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve a number of risks and uncertainties that could materially affect actual results. The Company disclaims any obligations to update any forward-looking statement as a result of developments occurring after the date of this presentation.



Enzo Biochem, Inc. (the "Company") has filed a definitive proxy statement with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") with respect to its 2015 Annual Meeting of Shareholders and intends to file a definitive proxy statement as well. The definitive proxy statement and white proxy card has been mailed to shareholders of the Company. Enzo Biochem, Inc., its directors and certain of its executive officers may be deemed to be participants in the solicitation of proxies from shareholders in connection with the matters to be considered at its 2015 Annual Meeting. ENZO BIOCHEM, INC. SHAREHOLDERS ARE STRONGLY ENCOURAGED TO READ ANY SUCH PROXY STATEMENT AND ACCOMPANYING PROXY CARD AS THEY WILL CONTAIN IMPORTANT INFORMATION. Information regarding the ownership of the Company's directors and executive officers in the Company's common stock, restricted stock and options is included in their SEC filings on Forms 3, 4 and 5, which can be found at the Company's website (www.enzo.com) in the section "Corporate—Investor Information." More detailed information regarding the identity of potential participants, and their direct or indirect interests, by security holdings or otherwise, is set forth in the proxy statement and other materials to be filed with the SEC in connection with the Company's 2015 Annual Meeting. Information can also be found in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended July 31, 2015, filed with the SEC on October 13, 2015, as amended on November 27, 2015. Shareholders will be able to obtain any proxy statement, any amendments or supplements to the proxy statement and other documents filed by the Company with the SEC for no charge at the SEC's website at www.sec.gov. Copies will also be available at no charge at the Company's website at www.enzo.com or by writing to the Company at 527 Madison Avenue, New York, New York 10022.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Executive Summary	4
Company Overview	10
Molecular Diagnostics Industry	22
Enzo's Unique Solution	30
Lone Star's Lack of Value-Add	40
Addressing Lone Star's Uninformed Critique of Enzo	75
Enzo's Leadership and Stewardship	81
Appendix	89



Executive Summary


December 2015
www.enzo.com

ENZO'S TIME IS NOW

- The culmination of strategic planning and years of building a vertically integrated company uniquely positions Enzo Biochem, Inc. ("Enzo" or "the Company") to create significant long-term value for its stockholders
- Enzo is now positioned to satisfy a significant need in the Molecular Diagnostics ("MDx") market
- *The Company's unique integrated structure is the key to delivering the solution*

Industry Challenge

✘ While **demand** for MDx is rapidly **increasing**, **reimbursement** is rapidly **declining** – and lab margins could decline by an additional 20-30 percentage points under new payment policies⁽¹⁾



Enzo Solution

✓ Enzo's structure is designed to deliver on the development and production of **cost-effective**, **high-performance**, **easily adaptable** MDX products and services that, we believe, will provide a **30%-50% savings** to the current MDx market⁽¹⁾

ENZO IS POSITIONED TO CREATE SUSTAINED VALUE FOR ITS SHAREHOLDERS

⁽¹⁾ Company estimate

LONE STAR VALUE'S LACK OF SPECIFICITY AND VALUE-ADD

■ An investment manager called Lone Star Value Management LLC ("Lone Star") with a 1.23% position in Enzo is currently seeking 40% representation on Enzo's board⁽¹⁾

✗ We believe Lone Star's **interests are not aligned** with other shareholders

- *Lone Star has demonstrated a history of short-term trading in stocks in which it has been involved*
- *Lone Star's trading activity (in and out) of Enzo's stock is the antithesis of long-term value creation*

✗ We believe Lone Star's **nominees are not independent** of one another and are not independent of Jeff Eberwein, the Founder and CEO of Lone Star

- *Mr. Eberwein operates in an insular circle with a web of interconnections to his Enzo nominees – John Climaco and Dimitrios Angelis became paid consultants and/or employees of his targeted companies*

✗ We believe Lone Star and its **nominees have no articulate plan** for our business other than self-enrichment, jobs, stock trades, etc.

- *We provided Mr. Eberwein, Mr. Climaco, and Mr. Angelis with numerous opportunities to share their ideas for Enzo – and yet they never offered a single idea as to how they would create shareholder value any differently than the Company's current plan*
- *In fact, they were complimentary toward Enzo's board and management*
- *If elected to Enzo's board, a majority of the independent directors would be controlled by Lone Star*

✗ We believe Lone Star has a **track record** of destroying value for shareholders⁽²⁾

- *Lone Star's failures overwhelmingly dwarf its successes – so much so that we can't imagine why any shareholder would want to gamble on their involvement*

(1) Lone Star Value Investors LP ("Lone Star") Schedule 14A, filed with SEC on December 2, 2015

(2) See pages 51-68




- ✓ Solid corporate strategy and well positioned to execute in the current environment
- ✓ Delivering solid returns to shareholders - 3-year TSR of 71.9%
- ✓ Innovative Technology and Patent Estate beginning to create value
- ✓ Management team with depth and experience...expanding in core areas
- ✓ Independent, diverse directors and governance programs
- ✓ Improving financial performance, including increasing revenue and expanding margins

Lone Star

- ✗ Rapidly reducing its Enzo ownership while simultaneously pursuing a proxy fight
- ✗ Nominees appear highly conflicted and not qualified for Enzo's Board
- ✗ Track record of poor TSR performance on current and previous board representation
- ✗ Have not presented a single idea or plan to increase shareholder return
- ✗ False and misleading claims in preliminary proxy statements
- ✗ Nominees have a poor track record of corporate governance
- ✗ Lone Star is not a long-term or significant shareholder of Enzo, rather they are stock traders

THE CHOICE FOR SHAREHOLDERS IS CLEAR!



- ✓ A business model and operational structure that are positioned to address the imminent needs of the growing but challenged MDx industry
- ✓ The ability to further leverage Enzo's vertically integrated business structure to create value for shareholders
- ✓ A board and management team with a deep understanding of the MDx marketplace and a proven track record of developing valuable biotech innovations, the value of which is now being harvested
- ✓ An ownership culture in which directors and management do own shares of common stock – their interests are perfectly aligned with the interests of long-term shareholders



Lone Star



- ✗ Disruption of Enzo's current trajectory by an investor that openly admits he *"doesn't understand our business"*⁽¹⁾
- ✗ A 67% change in independent directors by a 1.2% shareholder who has not put forth a single idea on how they would create value for shareholders
- ✗ An investor and nominees with innumerable interconnected relationships that appear to have an abysmal track record
- ✗ An investor who has bought 1,916,784 shares and sold 2,201,784 stock over the past two years – a shareholder that we believe trades in Enzo's stock opportunistically⁽²⁾

(1) Lone Star discussion with the Company

(2) Lone Star Value Investors Schedule 14A, filed with SEC on December 2, 2015

Enzo Is Positioned to Thrive NOW



Company Overview

December 2015
www.enzo.com

ENZO IS AN INTEGRATED DIAGNOSTICS ENTITY THAT IS UNIQUELY STRUCTURED TO CAPITALIZE ON ONGOING REIMBURSEMENT PRESSURES IN THE MOLECULAR DIAGNOSTICS MARKET

- Enzo's vertically integrated structure positions it to benefit as a **disruptor in molecular diagnostics**:
 - **Biotech**: Develops technologies and platforms that serve as the engine for innovative product development, while generating a significant portfolio of intellectual property
 - **Molecular Diagnostics**: Develops, formats, and manufactures high-performance MDx products on a large scale, and markets the products on a global basis
 - **Clinical Services**: Enzo's state-of-the-art clinical lab provides the Company with meaningful insight and knowledge, allowing it to commercialize high-value diagnostic assays nationally
- Enzo's structure is designed to develop and produce of cost-effective, high-performance, easily adaptable products and services that, we believe, provide:
 - **30%-50%** savings to the current MDx market⁽¹⁾
 - Superior product performance
 - Seamless fit into customers' normal workflows

Our current market position is the culmination of extensive strategic planning by the board, management, and our advisors and years of work developing core competencies that cannot be easily replicated




ENZO IS POSITIONED TO ADDRESS THE MARGIN DILEMMA OF THE MOLECULAR DIAGNOSTIC MARKET, WHICH CAN CREATE SUSTAINED VALUE FOR ITS SHAREHOLDERS

⁽¹⁾ Company estimate

ENZO'S STRUCTURE

THE BENEFITS OF VERTICAL INTEGRATION: OPERATIONAL FLEXIBILITY, COST AVOIDANCE, SUPERIOR MARGINS, AND RAPID COMMERCIALIZATION



WHY ENZO BELIEVES IT CAN DELIVER 30%-50% SAVINGS TO MARKET

HOW ENZO CAN DELIVER SIGNIFICANT SAVINGS TO ITS CUSTOMERS


Average Gross Margin of Clinical Services Companies⁽¹⁾	~30%
Potential MDx Savings:	
Cumulative Royalty Relief	
■ <i>Enzo owns all of its IP</i>	10%-15%
■ <i>Intellectual property generation already paid for</i>	
Lower Cost of Goods	
■ <i>Enzo's cumulative technological capability is the foundation</i>	
■ <i>Enzo's robust product development pipeline emanates from a multiplicity of platforms with a low cost of product development for open systems</i>	10%-20%
No Capital Investment	
■ <i>Enzo's products do not require dedicated/expensive instrumentation</i>	5%-10%
Anticipated Margin After Enzo Savings – 2016	55%-75%
Anticipated Margin After Enzo Savings – 2018 (After PAMA)⁽²⁾	35%-55%
■ <i>Even with further reimbursement erosion, we deliver cost relief to the market while maintaining healthy margins for Enzo</i>	

(1) Company estimates – average assumed segment lab gross margin

(2) Assumes PAMA reimbursement rates are approximately 20 percentage points lower than 2016 levels

THE SYNERGIES OF ENZO'S STRUCTURE

Basic Components of Value



■ Biotech

- Extensive IP and self-developed, self-funded content
- Development engine for our platforms and technologies
- Fundamental to our overall business strategy
- IP has also generated \$80 million in settlements and \$300 million+ in licensing and other revenue⁽¹⁾
- CEO co-inventor of majority of patents
 - Has allowed the Company to self-generate output

■ MDx Products

- Designed for use in open systems
- Company does not have the extensive development cost associated with dedicated instrumentation
 - Results in significantly lowered product cost to Enzo
 - ◆ Savings on instrumentation and products move to customer
- New product flow derived from Enzo's proprietary technologies and platforms
- Significant MDx pipeline

■ Clinical Services


- New York State and College of American Pathologists accredited clinical laboratories with reach
- Provides channel to market for Enzo products

THE SYNERGISTIC VALUE OF THE WHOLE EXCEEDS THE SUM OF THE PARTS AND ALLOWS ENZO TO CONTINUE TO EXECUTE ON ITS STRATEGY TO DRIVE SHAREHOLDER VALUE

*Note: Block sizes are purely illustrative
(1) Company estimates*

THE MARKET IS STARTING TO RECOGNIZE ENZO'S COMPELLING POTENTIAL

6-Month Total Shareholder Return




Note: Selected Lab Peers: GHDX, NEO, SQNM, SHL, NTRA, and VCYT (Median value used)

Note: Selected Life Science Peers: AFFX, NSTG, EXQ, HBIO, and TECH (Median value used)

Source: Capital IQ as of December 8, 2015



RECENT CATALYSTS



"[Y]ou guys are doing a really good job. Getting Ampiprobe approved is a game changer."

Norman Hale, Stifel, 12/8/2015

ENZO IS ON A PATH TO CREATE SUSTAINED SHAREHOLDER VALUE




“FQ1 (Oct) represented a solid start to FY 2016 (July), particularly on the Clinical Lab side of the business, which once again posted strong revenue growth in the high single digits while continuing to expand gross margin by several hundred basis points.”


Craig Hallum, December 8, 2015

ENZO'S PERFORMANCE VERSUS PEERS


1-Year Total Shareholder Return




2-Year Total Shareholder Return



3-Year Total Shareholder Return




4-Year Total Shareholder Return




Note: Selected Lab Companies: GHDX, NEO, SQNM, SHL, NTRA, and VCYT (Median value used)
 Note: Selected Life Science Companies: AFFX, NSTG, EXQ, HBIO, and TECH (Median value used)
 Source: Capital IQ as of November 24, 2015

ENZO IS WORKING DILIGENTLY TO IMPROVE OPERATING EFFICIENCIES

SG&A as a Percentage of Revenue




R&D as a Percentage of Revenue



PROVEN COMMITMENT TO IMPROVE EFFICIENCIES FOR THE BENEFIT OF SHAREHOLDERS

ENZO'S EXTREMELY EFFICIENT R&D INVESTMENT

Cumulative R&D Spend vs. Peers



R&D Spend	5 yr	10 yr	Sales	TEV
Genomic Health <small>LIFE. CHANGING.</small>	\$273	\$406	281	\$762
sequenom <small>Laboratories</small>	181	315	137	261
natera	63	63	189	392
veracyte.	43	43	48	157
Enzo	17 ⁽¹⁾	41 ⁽¹⁾	98	189

Note: Enzo figures calendarized from fiscal year ending 7/31

Source: Company filings

(1) Excludes Therapeutics R&D

EFFECTIVE RETURN ON IP LITIGATION INVESTMENT


- Enzo has consistently been at the frontier of innovation, committed to investing in the development of disruptive technologies
- Having a wide range of applications, Enzo's IP estate of patents (of which our CEO is co-inventor) has been regularly infringed upon, enriching a number of major companies over several years
- In light of patent infringement, Enzo is committed to protecting its formidable IP assets
 - Investments in IP protection has generated substantial returns from both legal settlements and IP royalties

2011-16 Total Through 1st Quarter of Fiscal 2016

(\$ in millions)

Total Legal Fee Expense	(\$27.5)
Legal Settlements, Net	34.4
IP Royalties	26.0
Net Gain	32.9

Total Legal Expenditures⁽¹⁾ vs. Return Through 1st Quarter of Fiscal 2016



DURING THE PAST FIVE YEARS, ENZO'S SETTLEMENT AND LICENSING REVENUE WAS TWICE AS LARGE AS THE COMPANY'S TOTAL LEGAL EXPENSE AND CONTRIBUTED TO THE COMPANY'S CASH RESERVES

(1) Includes all legal expenses as reported



Molecular Diagnostics Industry:


Challenging Environment Creates Significant Opportunities for Enzo

December 2015
www.enzo.com

MDx MARKET GROWTH

- In the span of 25 years, molecular diagnostics have burgeoned from a practically non-existent market of approximately \$10 million in product sales to \$5.2 billion worldwide in 2014
 - **Estimated annual growth rate of 7.5%** at least through 2018
- Thousands of labs in the U.S. can be enabled with MDx technologies, but we believe that they lack key capability to capture the market
 - Today's MDx products are expensive

Global MDx Sales 2012 – 2018E



**IF DEMAND FOR MDx TESTS IS GROWING SO FAST,
WHY IS THE INDUSTRY UNDER PRESSURE?**

Source: Enterprise Analysis Corporation "Molecular Diagnostics Update: Market Trends and Outlook," 2014

MDx Market Margins are Under Intense Pressure


- ↖ **Demand** for MDx is rapidly increasing, and we believe it is growing at 2x the rate of the overall diagnostic market⁽¹⁾
- ↘ Despite growing demand, **reimbursement** for MDx tests is in long-term decline⁽¹⁾
 - ↘ MDx **margins** within labs could decline by another 20-30 percentage points under new payor guidelines⁽¹⁾
- ↖ Meanwhile, we believe the **costs for performing** MDx tests are increasing⁽¹⁾
 - ↖ MDx product companies are not reducing the cost of the products to the clinical labs despite downward reimbursement pressure

ENZO BELIEVES IT CAN ADDRESS THIS CHALLENGE AND DELIVER TO ITS CUSTOMERS A 30%-50% REDUCTION IN SAVINGS THROUGH ITS INTEGRATED SOLUTIONS

⁽¹⁾ Company estimate

IMPACT OF IMPENDING REIMBURSEMENT CUTS ON DIAGNOSTIC COMPANIES

CMS's TSR Impact for GHDX, VCYT, CDNA



"We expect several diagnostics stocks to trade off sharply following announced price cuts by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) for several diagnostic tests."


Leerink Partners, September 28, 2015

"The most dramatic proposal as it relates to our coverage list was changes to payments for a number of tests with new CPT codes proposed for 2016... CMS proposed cross-walking for a number of these codes, which would lead to draconian cuts if passed and led to meaningful stock depreciation for a number of stocks."

William Blair, October 5, 2015

CLINICAL LABS UNDER PRESSURE

EBITDA Margin⁽¹⁾



“There are three key pieces of information that were released by CMS on Friday, September 25, including: 1) a proposed rule to change Medicare Clinical Lab Fee Schedule reimbursement based on the PAMA legislation enacted in 2014, 2) proposed methodologies for pricing new codes for 2016, and 3) the second round of gap-fill pricing for new codes being priced in 2015. This data in addition to market volatility has caused meaningful valuation adjustment across the space, with many stocks trading at trough levels.”

William Blair, October 5, 2015

DECLINING REIMBURSEMENT RATES ARE ALREADY PRESSURING LAB MARGINS

(1) FactSet

INDUSTRY'S INABILITY TO RESPOND TO REIMBURSEMENT PRESSURE

THE PROTECTING ACCESS TO MEDICARE ACT OF 2014 (OR "PAMA") IS RESETTING THE ENTIRE LABORATORY FEE SCHEDULE – THIS "RESETTING" IS RESULTING IN SUBSTANTIAL CUTS TO LABORATORY REIMBURSEMENT RATES

■ OPERATIONAL EFFICIENCIES HAVE ALREADY VIRTUALLY MAXED OUT

- Clinical labs have made substantial efforts to reduce costs and, we believe, have focused their effort on labor expenses
 - Market consolidation is largely complete
 - Due to government-mandated staffing levels, clinical labs are **no longer able to continue to cut labor** costs as aggressively as in the past
 - The cost of referring MDx tests to other clinical labs has not responded to reimbursement pressure either
 - *Thus, clinical labs, we believe, cannot effectively respond to reimbursement limitations*


■ MDx COMPANIES HAVE NOT AND CANNOT REDUCE PRICES

- In many clinical labs, the costs of reagents – utilized to run diagnostic tests – are 20% of their revenues⁽¹⁾
 - We believe MDx companies have generally refused to – or have been unable to – reduce the prices they charge to clinical labs due to margin pressure
 - ◆ If MDx companies did reduce price as the market needs, in our view, their profits would be reduced
 - ◆ *Therefore, clinical labs cannot receive margin relief from MDx companies*
- Closed systems complete the margin squeeze
 - Diagnostic companies are single-platform-centric, meaning that they sell test kits to the clinical labs **that generally only work on the instrumentation with which they were sold**
 - ◆ *This antiquated razor-razorblade model, which has dominated the industry for years, is in **desperate need of disruption***

(1) Company estimate

THE RIGID RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN LABS AND MDX PROVIDERS

Closed Systems Explained




- Closed-end systems are developed by MDx companies to perform MDx tests that **only accept proprietary reagents**
- In part because of the development costs of these systems, MDx companies attempt to lock in agreements with clinical labs for certain MDx tests, which has made the labs **hostage to higher reagent prices and, thus, lower margins**

WE BELIEVE BEING “STUCK” WITH CLOSED-END SYSTEMS IS A LARGE CONTRIBUTOR TO THE DECLINING MARGIN PRESSURE OF CLINICAL LABS

MDx MARKET HAS MATERIAL INEFFICIENCIES

The Multiple, Inefficient Layers of the MDx Market



FRAGMENTED COMPANIES CURRENTLY IN THE MDX MARKET ADD COSTS AND COMPROMISE INNOVATION



Enzo's Unique Solution:

A vertically integrated Company with disruptive technology to sustainably solve the MDx market's problems

December 2015
www.enzo.com

ENZO IS STRUCTURED TO MEET THE MARKET CHALLENGES




Biotech	<ul style="list-style-type: none">■ Leverages Enzo's extensive IP to develop technologies and platforms that serve as the engine for innovative product development and generates significant capital for the Company<ul style="list-style-type: none">• The Company has developed and analyzed numerous platforms, and advanced those that can deliver not only performance and cost, but can be delivered in a manner compatible with our customers' regular operations
MDx	<ul style="list-style-type: none">■ Develops, manufactures, and globally commercializes high-performance MDx products on a large scale anticipated to be sold at 30%-50% less than the current market pricing
Clinical Services	<ul style="list-style-type: none">■ Well-equipped clinical laboratories, which allow Enzo to commercialize high-value MDx content for the national market, at substantial savings, while generating capital to support its own operation

WITH OUR VERTICALLY INTEGRATED STRUCTURE, WE AVOID THE INTERMEDIATE COSTS AT EACH STEP... SAVINGS THAT WE PASS ON TO THE MARKET AND VALUE WE CAN DELIVER TO OUR SHAREHOLDERS

ENZO'S VERTICAL INTEGRATION ELIMINATES COST REDUNDANCIES

Enzo's Structure Lowers Costs and Promotes Innovation



WHY ENZO BELIEVES IT CAN DELIVER 30%-50% SAVINGS TO MARKET

HOW ENZO CAN DELIVER SIGNIFICANT SAVINGS TO ITS CUSTOMERS


Average Gross Margin of Clinical Services Companies⁽¹⁾	~30%
Potential MDx Savings:	
Cumulative Royalty Relief	
■ <i>Enzo owns all of its IP</i>	10%-15%
■ <i>Intellectual property generation already paid for</i>	
Lower Cost of Goods	
■ <i>Enzo's cumulative technological capability is the foundation</i>	10%-20%
■ <i>Enzo's robust product development pipeline emanates from a multiplicity of platforms with a low cost of product development for open systems</i>	
No Capital Investment	
■ <i>Enzo's products do not require dedicated/expensive instrumentation</i>	5%-10%
Anticipated Margin After Enzo Savings – 2016	55%-75%
Anticipated Margin After Enzo Savings – 2018 (After PAMA)⁽²⁾	35%-55%
■ <i>Even with further reimbursement erosion, we deliver cost relief to the market while maintaining healthy margins for Enzo</i>	

(1) Company estimates – average assumed segment lab gross margin

(2) Assumes PAMA reimbursement rates are approximately 20 percentage points lower than 2016 levels

THE “OPEN SYSTEM” OPPORTUNITY

The “Open System” Opportunity




- Enzo makes multiple high-quality MDx Assays
- Which are lower-priced and can fit into most “open” MDx systems

- Recently, inexpensive open systems have become widely available, but high-value content is lacking due to IP barriers – this presents a material opportunity for Enzo
- Selling MDx content into this large installed base of open systems presents a significant **opportunity for Enzo to disrupt the closed system market** and offset margin pressures in the market

ENZO’S SOLUTION IS A GAME-CHANGER FOR CLINICAL LABS

ENZO'S SOLUTION: VERTICAL INTEGRATION

BIOTECH, MDx, AND CLINICAL SERVICES COMPANIES IN TODAY'S MARKET ENVIRONMENT LACK THE NECESSARY OPERATING STRUCTURE AND RESOURCES TO EFFECTIVELY MEET THE CHALLENGES OF THE MDx MARKET⁽¹⁾

	Concept				Commercialization	
	Innovation & IP	Technology Platform	Manufacturing	Technology Validation	Products	Services
Biotech Competitors	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗
MDx Competitors	Via Licenses		✓	✓	✓	✗
Clinical Service Competitors	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓
	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

■ Without an integrated vertical structure and associated resources, we believe the market incurs incremental licensing, high fixed overhead costs, and distributor costs that restrict the ability to operate in a low-cost, high-quality manner

■ Unlike Enzo, we believe these companies are trapped in their singular limited structure that is neither adaptive to reimbursement challenges nor creates innovative technology products serving market participants

(1) Company analysis

ENZO HAS A ROBUST MDx PIPELINE

Rapid
Roll-Out

Product / Test Description	Expected Availability ⁽¹⁾	Platform	Annual Market Opportunity ⁽²⁾
HPV E6/E7 Detection	Available	FLOWSCRIPT™ GENE EXPRESSION	\$200mm+ product \$500mm service
HCV Viral Load	Available	AMPIPROBE™ REAL-TIME AMPLIFICATION AND DETECTION	\$300mm product \$450mm service
Fertility Assay	Q1 2016	ENHANCED IMMUNOASSAY	\$15mm product \$40mm service
Cardiac Marker	Q2 2016	ENHANCED IMMUNOASSAY	\$20mm product \$30mm service
Women's Health Panel	Q2 2016	AMPIPROBE™ REAL-TIME AMPLIFICATION AND DETECTION	\$500mm product \$1bn service
HBV Viral Load	2017	AMPIPROBE™ REAL-TIME AMPLIFICATION AND DETECTION	\$250mm product \$375mm service
HIV Viral Load	2017	AMPIPROBE™ REAL-TIME AMPLIFICATION AND DETECTION	\$600mm product \$900mm service
IHC Detection	2017	ENHANCED DETECTION	\$50mm+ (clinical)
TH1/TH2	In development	FLOWSCRIPT™ GENE EXPRESSION	-
Cancer AB Panel	In development	AMPIFLOW™ ENHANCED DETECTION LABEL	-
Cancer Marker Panel	In development	FLOWSCRIPT™ GENE EXPRESSION	-

(1) Years represent calendar years

(2) Company estimates


CLINICAL SERVICES

OUR CLINICAL LAB BUSINESS RUNS OUR OWN TESTS AND OTHER COMMON TESTS FOR A GLOBAL CLIENTELE, WHICH INCLUDES PHYSICIANS AS WELL AS OTHER CLINICAL LABS

- Enzo has a fully established infrastructure with excess capacity, which allows the Company to provide end-to-end services for other labs
- We can perform reference test services for the same or less than what labs are currently paying for their lab reagents alone
- This offering provides margin relief for labs that are increasingly pressured by a destructive industry landscape

- ✓ Technical Expertise
- ✓ Accreditation
- ✓ Logistics
- ✓ IT – Lab
- ✓ IT – Clients – Integrated into customers' operations
- ✓ Financial Services
- ✓ Payor Relations – In-network with most major payors
- ✓ Reputation for Excellence
- ✓ Marketing and Sales

ENZO HAS BUILT A HIGHLY SCALABLE CLINICAL SERVICE LAB BASED ON MANY YEARS OF EXPERIENCE AND SUBSTANTIAL INVESTMENT



SUMMARY

ENZO ADDRESSES THE CHALLENGES IN MDx MARKET

- The Company continually evaluates its own technologies and platforms and selects those to further advance based on the following criteria:
 - Products that solve problems for clinical labs and have existing market opportunities
 - Products that can be ***sold at 30%-50% less*** than our competition⁽¹⁾
 - Products that could perform at or superior to market leaders' products
 - Medically relevant information
 - Products that could fit into existing operations and do not disrupt lab workflows
 - Products that result in greater margins for Enzo
- We have been able to design proprietary products and protocols that fit into current lab workflows without the need to utilize third-party intellectual property
 - We save on licensing costs, and these savings can be passed along to our customers
 - There is no need to “reinvent the wheel” once we decide on what test we want to develop
 - Existing internally generated technologies and platforms allow us to efficiently mix and match capabilities in order to optimize the cost profile, performance, and user-friendly nature of any particular test
 - Enzo can offer both products and services to the MDx market

THE TIME FOR ENZO IS NOW

⁽¹⁾ Company estimate



Lone Star's Lack of Value-Add

December 2015
www.enzo.com

LONE STAR VALUE'S LACK OF SPECIFICITY AND VALUE-ADD

■ An investment manager called Lone Star Value Management LLC (“Lone Star”) with a 1.23% position in Enzo is currently seeking 40% representation on Enzo’s board⁽¹⁾

✗ We believe Lone Star’s **interests are not aligned** with other shareholders

- *Lone Star has demonstrated a history of short-term trading in stocks in which it has been involved*
- *Lone Star’s trading activity (in and out) of Enzo’s stock is the antithesis of long-term value creation*

✗ We believe Lone Star’s **nominees are not independent** of one another and are not independent of Jeff Eberwein, the Founder and CEO of Lone Star

- *Mr. Eberwein operates in an insular circle with a web of interconnections to his Enzo nominees – John Climaco and Dimitrios Angelis became paid consultants and/or employees of his targeted companies*

✗ We believe Lone Star and its **nominees have no articulate plan** for our business other than self-enrichment, jobs, stock trades, etc.

- *We provided Mr. Eberwein, Mr. Climaco, and Mr. Angelis with numerous opportunities to share their ideas for Enzo – and yet they never offered a single idea as to how they would create shareholder value any differently than the Company’s current plan*
- *In fact, they were complimentary toward Enzo’s board and management*
- *If elected to Enzo’s board, a majority of the independent directors would be controlled by Lone Star*

✗ We believe Lone Star has a **track record** of destroying value for shareholders⁽²⁾

- *Lone Star’s failures overwhelmingly dwarf its successes – so much so that we can’t imagine why any shareholder would want to gamble on their involvement*

(1) Lone Star Schedule 14A, filed with SEC on December 2, 2015

(2) See pages 51-68

IS LONE STAR A SHAREHOLDER OR A TRADER?

Common Stock	10,000	10/19/15
Common Stock	(55,000)	10/30/15
Common Stock	(5,000)	10/30/15
Common Stock	(25,000)	11/2/15
Common Stock	(5,000)	11/2/15
Common Stock	(31,300)	11/4/15
Common Stock	(2,236)	11/4/15
Common Stock	(18,897)	11/5/15
Common Stock	(2,591)	11/5/15
Common Stock	(19,803)	11/6/15
Common Stock	(173)	11/6/15
Common Stock	(30,100)	11/18/15
Common Stock	(5,000)	11/18/15
Common Stock	(5,316)	11/19/15
Common Stock	(1,784)	11/19/15
Common Stock	(7,800)	11/20/15
Common Stock	(5,000)	11/20/15
Common Stock	(6,784)	11/23/15
Common Stock	1,784	11/30/15

Lone Star has been reducing the number of shares it owns in Enzo – since 10/30/2015, Lone Star has sold 226,784 shares and purchased only 1,784

What does this say about Lone Star's commitment to create long-term value for shareholders?

Should a "selling" shareholder have control of 67% of the board's independent directors?

ENZO'S MANAGEMENT TEAM AND BOARD NOMINEES ARE NOT SELLERS OF THE COMPANY'S STOCK AND ARE WORKING TO CREATE VALUE WELL IN EXCESS OF OUR CURRENT VALUATION, UNLIKE, WE BELIEVE, LONE STAR

LONE STAR'S NOMINEES ARE NOT INDEPENDENT


LONE STAR'S DEPENDENT NOMINEES ARE SEEKING TO REPLACE A MAJORITY OF ENZO'S INDEPENDENT DIRECTORS

- When Mr. Eberwein first suggested Mr. Angelis and Mr. Climaco for the Board of Enzo, he asserted that they were “completely independent” and that he had met them on the Board of Digirad⁽¹⁾
 - *A closer examination, however, shows in no uncertain terms that Mr. Angelis and Mr. Climaco are part of an insular group of underfunded hedge funds that use activism as a tool to obtain short-term opportunities at small-cap companies with fewer resources to defend against their tactics*
- As can be seen on the following slide, Lone Star and its nominees have a dizzying array of interconnections – all of which demonstrate that Lone Star and its nominees lack credible independence
 - *At a minimum, the numerous relationships between Lone Star and its fellow “comrades” call into question Lone Star’s appetite for “diverse ideas” and indicate a presence for short-term results, potentially pushing for undue risks in hopes of an occasional opportunity for employment and/or consultation, as evidenced by many of their recent board appointments*
- Although Mr. Eberwein has been on only three public company boards with Mr. Angelis and two public company boards with Mr. Climaco, we believe the number of connections among them is staggering
 - *This is especially remarkable by today’s standards, in which shareholders have overwhelmingly demonstrated an appetite for both director independence and directors with excellent track records*

ENZO IS BEGINNING TO HARVEST ITS YEARS OF INNOVATION, AND WE BELIEVE IT IS NOT IN SHAREHOLDER INTERESTS TO ALLOW LONE STAR AND ITS NOMINEES TO DISRUPT OUR COMPANY'S PROSPECTS

(1) Discussion with Company

INDEPENDENT DIRECTORS?



Source: FactSet

DIRECTOR RELATIONSHIPS SINCE 2012

	Total Public Boards	Boards with Eberwein	Boards with Climaco	Boards with Angelis
Jeffrey Eberwein	11		2	3
John Climaco	7	2		1
Dimitrios Angelis	3	3	1	

WE BELIEVE THAT LONE STAR OBTAINING A DIRECTOR ON ENZO'S BOARD AS A BENEFIT IN AND OF ITSELF TO LONE STAR, BUT NOT NECESSARILY TO THE BENEFIT TO ALL OF ENZO'S SHAREHOLDERS

LACK OF INDEPENDENCE: DIGIRAD'S FAILED BID FOR PDI

- In October 2013, John Climaco joined the Board of PDI, Inc.
- On October 29, 2014, John Climaco resigned from PDI's Board of Directors
- On October 29, 2014, **the same day as Climaco's resignation**, Digirad, where Mr. Eberwein is a director, sent a letter to PDI expressing its willingness to acquire PDI
 - **John Climaco was also on the Digirad Board of Directors at the time, and on the same day he left PDI's Board, Digirad attempted to acquire PDI**
- On October 29, 2014, the PDI Board declined Digirad's offer, claiming it "does not consider PDI for sale and does not view [Digirad's] letter to be a meaningful offer"
- On October 31, 2014, Digirad sent a letter to PDI questioning whether the PDI Board was acting in the best interest of shareholders
 - Digirad claimed PDI was "showing its disregard for stockholder rights and stockholder value by refusing to consider a potentially significant value-enhancing transaction"
 - Digirad also claimed that this was an "unacceptable response for a board that has presided over such a tremendous destruction of stockholder value"
 - **Climaco was on the Board of PDI during this period of "tremendous destruction," yet he was also part of the Digirad Board that was attacking the PDI Board's performance** – we question Mr. Climaco's independence in these interactions
- *It is important to note that Chuck Gillman (also a member of the Digirad Board along with Eberwein, Climaco, and Angelis) is the founder of Boston Avenue Capital, a business partner with Heartland Advisors, the largest shareholder of PDI, Inc.*
- *Dimitrios Angelis was named to the Digirad Board in July of 2015*

WE ARE CONCERNED THAT CLIMACO, EBERWEIN, AND GILLMAN ARE CERTAINLY NOT "INDEPENDENT DIRECTORS"

WHAT'S GOING ON AT DIGIRAD?

On July 24, 2015, the Company's majority-owned Polish subsidiary, Perma-Fix Medical and Digirad Corporation, a Delaware corporation ("Digirad"), Nasdaq: DRAD, entered into a multi-year Tc-99m Supplier Agreement (the "Supplier Agreement") and a Series F Stock Subscription Agreement (the "Subscription Agreement"), (together, the "Digirad Agreements"). The Supplier Agreement is effective upon the completion of the Subscription Agreement. Perma-Fix Medical was formed to develop and commercialize a new process to produce Technetium-99 ("Tc-99m"), the most widely used medical isotope in the world. Pursuant to the terms of the Digirad Agreements, **Digirad purchased 71,429 shares of Perma-Fix Medical's restricted Series F Stock for an aggregate purchase price of \$1,000,000.** Under Polish law, issuance of shares requires approval of the shares by the Polish court which is expected to occur in the third quarter of 2015. In the event that the shares are not approved by the Polish court within 120 days from the date of payment by Digirad to Perma-Fix Medical of the \$1,000,000 purchase price on July 24, 2015, Perma-Fix Medical and Digirad have agreed that Perma-Fix Medical will return the \$1,000,000 to Digirad and the Digirad Agreements shall terminate. The 71,429 share investment made by Digirad, when completed, will constitute approximately 5.4% of the outstanding common shares of Perma-Fix Medical. Upon issuance of the 71,429 shares to Digirad, the Company's ownership interest in Perma-Fix Medical would be diluted from approximately 64.0% to approximately 60.5%. The Supplier Agreement provides, among other things, that upon Perma-Fix Medical's commercialization of certain Tc99m generators, Digirad will purchase agreed upon quantities of Tc-99m for its nuclear imaging operations either directly or in conjunction with its preferred nuclear pharmacy supplier and Perma-Fix Medical will supply Digirad, or its preferred nuclear pharmacy supplier, with Tc-99m at a preferred pricing, subject to certain conditions. Mr. Climaco is a Director of the Company and Executive Vice-President of Perma-Fix Medical. Mr. Climaco is also a Director of Digirad. Mr. Climaco abstained in connection with the Board's approval of the above transactions with Digirad.

Permafix DEF 14A, 8/13/2015 (emphasis added)

EBERWEIN, CLIMACO, AND ANGELIS ALL SIT ON THE BOARD OF DIGIRAD

WHY IS DIGIRAD ACQUIRING STOCK IN PERMAFIX WHERE CLIMACO IS A DIRECTOR AND EMPLOYEE?

Digirad (NASDAQ:DRAD): An End User Perspective

- All North American providers of nuclear imaging are headed “over the falls” in 2016
- Perma-Fix Medical presents a reliable alternative to a fragile supply chain
 - A custom “direct to end user” solution
 - Decentralized production
 - Ease of production in existing reactors worldwide
- A low cost solution to the 2nd biggest COG after labor
- Benefit to service providers, patients and payors

18



NOW PERMAFIX HAS A SLIDE ON DIGIRAD IN ITS INVESTOR PRESENTATION, WHY?

LONE STAR NOMINEE: JOHN CLIMACO

- Enzo's management team has known Mr. Climaco for approximately three years
 - *We were first introduced to Mr. Climaco when he approached Enzo in an effort to explore channels of distribution for his Axial Biotech product, which we turned down after being entirely unimpressed with both Mr. Climaco and Axial's product*
 - Mr. Climaco was the Founder, President, and CEO of Axial Biotech, which was founded in 2003 and funded for ~\$25.3 million and sold for ~\$4.4 million to Transgenomic – **a loss of approximately 80%**⁽¹⁾
 - We have been unable to determine if this product has made any profit for its current owner, nor did Mr. Climaco provide this information
- Mr. Eberwein claims that Mr. Climaco would make a good candidate because of his "nine years as a CEO of a company involved in clinical lab services"⁽²⁾
 - Based on the failures of Axial Biotech, we have to question both Mr. Eberwein's judgment and Mr. Climaco's relevant experience
 - *In spite of this, Lone Star offered to have Enzo simply accept Mr. Climaco to the Board as part of a proposed settlement agreement*
 - It would have been remarkably easy for us to have simply expanded the Board and added Mr. Climaco to avoid the expense and distraction of a contested election. However, **we obviously have genuine and serious concerns about Mr. Climaco** after thorough examination of his record
- Additionally, when asked by Enzo to furnish his resume in advance of a meeting with the nominating committee of our Board, Mr. Climaco presented a list of his "Public Board Experience"
 - **Omitted** from Mr. Climaco's list of previous public board experience were **Essex Rental Corp.**, which lost 90% of value and announced intent to delist and deregister its common stock during his six-month directorship, and **ALCO Stores**, which ended up in Chapter 11 bankruptcy during his directorship⁽³⁾

MR. CLIMACO IS OBVIOUSLY NOT AN ACCEPTABLE CANDIDATE FOR ENZO, AND HIS UNIMPRESSIVE AND MISLEADING TRACK RECORD DOES NOT INSPIRE CONFIDENCE THAT HE COULD ADD ANY TRUE VALUE TO THE ENZO BOARD


(1) Capital IQ

(2) Lone Star Schedule 14A, filed with SEC on December 2, 2015

(3) Essex Rental Corp. TSR from June 6, 2015 to December 9, 2015


We are concerned that a Lone Star nominee failed to disclose significant facts in his background and only disclosed them upon questioning by our Nominating and Governance Committee

JOHN CLIMACO CASE STUDY: ESSEX RENTAL CORP.



Source: FactSet

JOHN CLIMACO CASE STUDY: ALCO STORES




Source: FactSet

(1) Concerned ALCO Stockholders press release, April 3, 2014

LONE STAR NOMINEE: DIMITRIOS ANGELIS

- Mr. Angelis is currently on the boards of Digirad (with Mr. Eberwein and Mr. Climaco) and Ameri100
 - *Mr. Angelis' most recent full-time position was serving as CEO of On Track Innovations (where Mr. Eberwein, Mr. Gillman, and Mr. Singh were all on the Board), and **during his tenure saw approximately a 20% decrease in value***
- Mr. Angelis has been on three public company boards – **all of which** Jeff Eberwein has also been a director
 - *We wonder if Mr. Angelis is essentially a proxy for Mr. Eberwein and whether Mr. Angelis feels obligated to do Lone Star's bidding, losing any sense of "independence"*

Mr. Angelis Tenure at OTI



Source: FactSet

- Mr. Angelis' principal background is that of a lawyer
 - *If elected to the Enzo Board, Mr. Angelis will be replacing a valuable contributor to the Company's strategy and recent success*
 - *Finally, we believe that Mr. Angelis' nomination by Lone Star represents yet another example of what we believe is poor judgement by Mr. Eberwein who appears to have simply chosen a close "ally" rather than a director with the unique skills to add value to Enzo and its shareholders*

LONE STAR NOMINEES HAVE NO PLAN

- Enzo acknowledges that the Company has yet to achieve its full potential
 - *We have been executing on a multi-year strategic plan to dramatically improve performance and create sustained value for shareholders*
 - *Our strategy is **beginning to bear the fruit of this effort**, and the market is beginning to appreciate Enzo's potential*
- Despite Mr. Eberwein's admission that he "**did not understand our business**," we thoroughly interviewed and vetted Lone Star nominees and specifically requested they share ideas on how they can increase the value of Enzo
 - *In our meetings with Mr. Climaco and Mr. Angelis, they **did not offer a single strategic suggestion** for Enzo's business*
 - *In fact, both gentlemen had high praise for the accomplishments of the current Board*

SECURITIES
Washington, D.C. 20549
SCHEDULE 14A
(Rule 14a-101)
INFORMATION REQUIRED IN PROXY STATEMENT
SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION
Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
(Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant
Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

Preliminary Proxy Statement
 Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(a)(2))
 Definitive Proxy Statement
 Definitive Additional Materials
 Soliciting Material Under Rule 14a-12

ENZO BIOCHEM, INC.
(Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

LONE STAR VALUE INVESTORS, LP
LONE STAR VALUE INVESTORS GP, LLC
LONE STAR VALUE MANAGEMENT, LLC

Lone Star makes **NO MENTION** of any plan in their preliminary proxy

LONE STAR IS SEEKING a 67% control of independent directors WITH **NO IDEAS AND NO PLAN**

Source: Lone Star Schedule 14A, filed with SEC on December 2, 2015
(1) Lone Star discussion with the Company


POOR TRACK RECORD

- We believe that Mr. Eberwein’s **track record as an activist investor and corporate director appears to be quite poor**
- Since starting his activist fund in 2012, Mr. Eberwein has been one of the most activist investors in the U.S., **routinely targeting smaller-cap companies in diverse industries** and repeatedly putting forward an **unimpressive cast of related nominees** for consideration
 - Although Mr. Eberwein appears to have been successful as a **serial short-term activist** by achieving settlements at many companies and has **himself sat on 11 public boards**, closer examination indicates an overwhelming and disturbing pattern of value destruction, as discussed in the following pages
 - We believe that Mr. Eberwein anticipated Enzo would join his rapidly growing list of “success stories”; however, Enzo has worked too hard and come too far to passively allow Mr. Eberwein or his “independent” nominees to disrupt the Company’s current trajectory
 - Enzo has analyzed Mr. Eberwein’s “strategy,” or lack thereof, and has determined that his platform provides no benefit for shareholders
 - ◆ We respect the input of shareholders, which is why Dov Perlysky, who Mr. Eberwein wants to replace, currently sits on our board

EXAMINING THE POOR TRACK RECORD OF LONE STAR AND ITS NOMINEES, COMBINED WITH A COMPLETE LACK OF ANY PLAN, WHY WOULD SHAREHOLDERS WANT THEM ON THE ENZO BOARD?

Note: Includes situations in which Eberwein or Lone Star made a public disclosure of activist intents. Excludes: 13D filings in which no specific activism initiative was disclosed (e.g., Crossroads Systems, Digirad), M&A activism that is campaigns against an announced transaction (e.g., Nabi Biopharmaceuticals), situations that are ongoing (e.g., Edgewater Technology), and situations that lasted less than one month (e.g., Lucas Energy)

TSR SINCE LONE STAR/CLIMACO CAMPAIGNS



LONE STAR'S TRACK RECORD WE BELIEVE SPEAKS FOR ITSELF

Source: FactSet

LONE STAR VALUE MANAGEMENT CAMPAIGN OVERVIEW

3

Lone Star's Investments that Have Seen Increased Shareholder Value

The Pantry




15

Campaigns in Which Lone Star Has Diminished Shareholder Value




LONE STAR SHOULD BE THRILLED WITH ITS RETURN ON ENZO

JEFFREY EBERWEIN CASE STUDY: AETRIUM



Source: FactSet


LONE STAR CASE STUDY: ANTARES



"The Board of Directors believe Lone Star Value Management brought nothing of merit to Antares and the lack of veracity of their statements was clearly demonstrated by the failure of Jeffrey Eberwein to attend the General Meeting he imposed upon Shareholders at which he and his group's proposals all failed and were rejected by Shareholders."


Antares Energy Limited Annual Report, March 31, 2015

LONE STAR CASE STUDY: AVIAT




Source: FactSet

LONE STAR CASE STUDY: CIBER




Source: FactSet

LONE STAR CASE STUDY: DAKOTA PLAINS HOLDINGS



Source: FactSet

LONE STAR CASE STUDY: ENDEAVOUR INTERNATIONAL



Source: FactSet


INFUSYSTEM CASE STUDY

- On January 18, 2012, Meson Capital Partners, Kleinheinz Capital Partners, and Boston Avenue Capital filed a preliminary proxy statement seeking the support to call a special meeting to replace InfuSystem’s entire board
 - Reasons for the proxy battle included poor stock performance and high compensation paid to executives
- On February 24, 2012, Jeffrey Eberwein expressed support for the campaign of the “Kleinheinz Dissident Group” to replace the InfuSystem Board of Directors
 - **Boston Avenue Capital, founded by Chuck Gillman, was part of the Kleinheinz Group supported by Eberwein**
 - The Dissident group called for special meeting to replace the InfuSystem Board with a slate that, according to Eberwein, “has the right experience based on the successful performance of several investments in which certain members of the Dissident group have been involved”
 - On February 6, 2012, InfuSystem released a statement claiming the dissident nominees “**have not articulated any plans or proposals for InfuSystem or provided any information as to what actions they might undertake** if they were to seize control of InfuSystem”
 - **Eberwein, Climaco, and Gillman campaigned for board seats based on past investment experience, placing no emphasis on industry expertise or tangible management plans**
- On February 27, 2012, Glass Lewis recommended that shareholders reject the dissident’s effort to call special meeting, stating “the Company’s policies provide for adequate procedures to allow shareholders to nominate their own director candidates for election at an annual meeting”
- On February 27, 2012, the Kleinheinz Dissident Group delivered a request to call special meeting after obtaining 50.19% of votes
- On April 24, 2012, InfuSystem announced that it had reached a settlement with the Kleinheinz Group
 - John Climaco, Chuck Gillman, and Dilip Singh were named to the Board, and Dilip Singh became the interim CEO
 - *Dilip Singh is the Chairman of On Track Innovations, where Eberwein and Angelis are ex-board members*


A COMPANY FOUNDED BY CHUCK GILLMAN AND SUPPORTED BY JEFFREY EBERWEIN ENTERED A SETTLEMENT WITH INFUSYSTEM TO PLACE CHUCK GILLMAN, JOHN CLIMACO, AND DILIP SINGH ON THE BOARD

INFUSYSTEM CASE STUDY (CONT.)

Stock Performance with Climaco on Board




Stock Performance after Climaco Left the Board



Source: FactSet


LONE STAR CASE STUDY: HUDSON GLOBAL

Hudson




Source: FactSet

EBERWEIN CASE STUDY: MAG SILVER




Source: FactSet

LONE STAR CASE STUDY: MILLER ENERGY




Source: FactSet

LONE STAR CASE STUDY: NOVATION




Source: FactSet

LONE STAR CASE STUDY: O2MICRO




Source: FactSet

LONE STAR CASE STUDY: PENN VIRGINIA CORPORATION




Source: FactSet

LONE STAR CASE STUDY: RENTECH



Source: FactSet

LONE STAR CASE STUDY: SWS GROUP



Source: FactSet

Enzo is open to directors nominated by shareholders – as was the case when Dov Perlysky was placed on Enzo’s Board – but the Nominating and Governance Committee thoroughly vetted Lone Star’s nominees and unanimously determined that adding Lone Star’s nominees to Enzo’s Board in no way provides unique value to shareholders given their track record and skills

In fact, none of the Company’s independent directors had, prior to their nomination, any existing relationship with management, the Company, or other directors. These directors were identified to the Company by the Company’s shareholders and/or independent advisors




Addressing Lone Star's Uninformed Critique of Enzo

December 2015
www.enzo.com

“POOR FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE”

- In the Company’s preliminary proxy statement, Lone Star criticizes the Company as having poor financial performance
 - Lone Star chooses to “stop the clock” on Enzo’s share performance before the Company’s stock appreciated **an additional 50%**



Why does Lone Star use “Average Returns” and not median returns?

Does Lone Star deciding to cherry-pick performance measurement dates demonstrate appropriate judgment?

LONE STAR HAS MADE MORE THAN A 30% RETURN ON ENZO STOCK – THUS, ITS CURIOUS GRAPHS ARE A MATERIAL MISREPRESENTATION

“CONCERNS WHETHER DIRECTOR DOV PERLYSKY TRULY REPRESENTS THE COMPANY’S PUBLIC SHAREHOLDERS”

“We also have concerns whether Director Dov Perlysky truly represents the Company’s public shareholders, as he is the son-in-law of Rosalind Davidowitz, who had an approximate 9.3% ownership interest in the Company at the time Mr. Perlysky was appointed to the Board in September 2012.”

Lone Star Preliminary Proxy Statement,
12/2/2013

Does Lone Star believe that Mr. Perlysky wants Enzo’s stock to go down because his mother-in-law owns over 9% equity position in the Company? Mr. Davidowitz has been a key long-term Enzo shareholder. How have our public shareholders fared since Mr. Perlysky joined the Board?

SINCE MR. PERLYSKY JOINED THE ENZO BOARD, OUR STOCK HAS APPRECIATED 100%

“CLASSIFIED BOARD STRUCTURE, POOR CORPORATE GOVERNANCE, AND LIMITATIONS ON SHAREHOLDER RIGHTS”

- In yet another demonstration of what we believe is its lack of understanding of our business, Lone Star pays special attention to criticizing Enzo’s classified board
 - *Enzo’s classified board is a necessary protection at this point in our Company, since we have litigation against companies in which the **potential settlement amounts exceed our current enterprise value***
 - *We believe Enzo’s shareholders should receive these potential benefits, and having a classified board is, in our view, the most effective way of preventing a hostile acquirer from taking control of Enzo for their own benefit*
 - *We also have a comprehensive business plan in place and continuity on a Board that reviewed, approved, and understands that the plan is important during its execution*

“RELATED-PARTY TRANSACTIONS”

- In its preliminary proxy statement, Lone Star expresses concerns regarding the Company’s “related-party transactions”
 - *Although Lone Star repeatedly states “transactions” to suggest that there are multiple, there is, in fact, a single related-party transaction which has been in place for 25 years and is unquestionably beneficial for Enzo’s shareholders*
 - *The singular related-party transaction that Lone Star is concerned with is the Company’s lease of its clinical lab in Farmingdale, NY*
- We believe this criticism is not only disingenuous, but it also demonstrates a complete lack of knowledge of Enzo and the lab industry
 - *In 1990, Enzo was experiencing financial challenges and was in dire need of an expanded laboratory facility in order to grow its business. To address this challenge, an LLC supported by the founders of the Company acquired a property in Long Island and immediately structured a market-rate lease for the Company*
- Lone Star questions “why Enzo did not purchase the facility outright from the beginning”
 - *Quite simply, Enzo did not have the capital at such time; furthermore, this is a question of capital allocation –Would shareholders really want an emerging Biotech Company to use its capital to acquire real estate?*
 - *Not only could Enzo not have just “purchased the facility outright,” they also did not have the financial profile to secure a lease from an independent party*
- Since that time, all of the rent increases for the lab have been associated with significant square footage expansions, increases in real estate tax rates (nnn lease), and regional cost of living adjustments
 - *At inception, the facility was ~25,000 square feet and today is ~43,000 square feet*
 - *Significant adjustments and annual variability in Long Island real estate tax rates, which are a simple pass-through and standard for nnn leases*
 - *The independent members of the Board have retained CB Richard Ellis to review all lease renewals to ensure that the rates and terms are fair and customary*
- Finally, moving locations for a clinical lab is extraordinarily challenging, both logistically and financially
 - *The stability provided by the current structure is tremendously favorable and has given the Company the latitude to sustainably grow and innovate*

*Lone star's attempt to depict the Company's clinical lab lease as somehow unfair or enriching the management team at the expense of shareholders, we believe, demonstrates either:
a complete misunderstanding of the facts, or
a further attempt to potentially mislead shareholders*



Enzo's Leadership and Stewardship

December 2015
www.enzo.com

EXPERIENCED MANAGEMENT TEAM

ELAZAR RABBANI, Ph.D., is an Enzo Biochem founder and has served as the Company's Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer since its inception in 1976 and Secretary since November 25, 2009. Dr. Rabbani has authored numerous scientific publications in the field of molecular biology, in particular, nucleic acid labeling and detection. He is also the lead inventor of most of the Company's pioneering patents covering a wide range of technologies and products. Dr. Rabbani received his Bachelor of Arts degree from New York University in Chemistry and his Ph.D. in Biochemistry from Columbia University.

BARRY W. WEINER, President, Chief Financial Officer, Principal Accounting Officer, and Director and a founder of Enzo Biochem. He has served as the Company's President since 1996, and previously held the position of Executive Vice President. Before his employment with Enzo Biochem, he worked in several managerial and marketing positions at the Colgate Palmolive Company. Mr. Weiner is a member of the New York Biotechnology Association. He received his Bachelor of Arts degree in Economics from New York University and his Master of Business Administration in Finance from Boston University.

JAMES M. O'BRIEN, Executive Vice President, Finance, joined Enzo Biochem, Inc. in February 2014 and is responsible for leading and managing all activities for the company's corporate and business unit financial functions. Mr. O'Brien has held leadership positions for corporate and business unit budgeting and forecasting, SEC reporting, internal controls, and accounting operations for large and small multinational public companies in pharmaceutical, consumer products, and manufacturing industries. From 2010 to 2013, Mr. O'Brien was Vice President and Corporate Controller for Actavis, PLC (formally Watson Pharmaceuticals), a global specialty pharmaceutical company. From 1998 to 2010, Mr. O'Brien held senior-level finance leadership roles at Nycomed US, Aptuit, Inc., Purdue Pharma LLP, and Bristol Myers Squibb Company. From 1988 to 1998, Mr. O'Brien was with PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP. He received his Bachelor of Arts degree from George Washington University and his Master of Business Administration from Fordham University. Mr. O'Brien is a Certified Public Accountant.

DAVID C. GOLDBERG, Vice President of Corporate Development for Enzo Biochem, Inc., has been employed with the Company since 1985. He also held several other executive positions within Enzo Biochem. In addition, Mr. Goldberg held management and marketing positions with DuPont-NEN and Gallard Schlesinger Industries before joining the Company. He received his Master of Science degree in Microbiology from Rutgers University and his Master of Business Administration in Finance from New York University.

EXPERIENCED MANAGEMENT TEAM (CONT.)

DIETER SCHAPFEL, M.D., Chief Medical Director for Enzo Clinical Labs, has been employed with the Company since 2012, initially as a consulting pathologist. Dr. Schapfel served as Medical Director of Pathology at Southside Hospital–North Shore/Long Island Jewish Health System from 2006 to 2012. Dr. Schapfel served as a staff pathologist at Huntington Hospital from January 2004 to June 2006. Dr. Schapfel served as Director of Pathology and Medical Affairs and the College of American Pathologists Director of Pathology, Dublin, Ireland and Farmingdale, NY for Icon Laboratories from February 2002 to October 2003. Dr. Schapfel is a graduate of the State University of New York at Stony Brook, College of Medicine, where he also served his residency. He is a diplomat of the American Board of Pathology with certification in Anatomic and Clinical Pathology and is also a diplomat of The National Board of Medical Examiners.

GREGORY M. BORTZ

Mr. Bortz is an Independent Director of Enzo Biochem, Inc. He co-founded CREO and leads its investment management business. With over 19 years of experience in developing and managing investments for high-net-worth individuals, families, and institutions, Mr. Bortz has generated sizeable returns for private equity and hedge fund investors focusing on distressed, asset-intensive companies in the middle market and public companies trading at a discount to their intrinsic value. Prior to CREO, Mr. Bortz served as a Senior Vice President at Lehman Brothers, focused on business and professional services investment banking. During his tenure at Lehman Brothers, Mr. Bortz led transactions in a multitude of business and professional services sub-sectors, including the security, staffing, human capital management, facilities services, consulting services, marketing services, and business process outsourcing sectors. Previously, Mr. Bortz served as a Vice President in investment banking at Credit Suisse, focusing on providing a full suite of investment banking services to mid- and large-cap companies in the Western United States. Prior to that, Mr. Bortz served as a Senior Manager in the business assurance practice at Ernst & Young.

Mr. Bortz graduated from the University of Cape Town with a Bachelor of Business Science degree. He is qualified as a Chartered Accountant in South Africa as well as in England and Wales.

“When I stepped onto the Enzo Board in 2010, I found that the management team was committed to improving all aspects of the business. The Company welcomed my advice around improving operations and at my suggestion undertook a robust cost-cutting initiative. As we see in the recent results, these initiatives are having a meaningful impact on the gross margins of the Company. By combining improved operations with our innovative MDx solutions, I believe the Company is wonderfully positioned to create robust value for shareholders”

Greg Bortz, Enzo Director

DOV PERLYSKY


Mr. Perlysky is an Independent Director of Enzo Biochem, Inc.. He is also an Independent Director at Highlands Bancorp, Inc., Independent Director at Pharma-Bio Serv, Inc., and Managing Member at Nesher LLC. He is on the Board of Directors at Enzo Biochem, Inc.; Oak Tree Educational Partners, Inc., a provider of vocational training courses with a better than 95% placement rate; Highlands Bancorp, Inc. and Highlands State Bank, a New Jersey community bank that he helped found, which currently has four branches; News Communications, Inc., publisher of The Hill newspaper in Washington D.C. with the largest circulation of any Capitol Hill newspaper; Pharma-Bio Serv, Inc., a regulatory compliance consulting company serving eight of the 10 largest pharmaceutical and medical device companies in the world with offices in four countries; and Engex, Inc., a closed-end mutual fund.

Mr. Perlysky was previously employed as Vice President of The Private Client Group at Laidlaw Global Securities. Prior to that, he was a highly trained information technology specialist for both Anixter and IBM Corp.

Mr. Perlysky received his undergraduate degree from the University of Illinois and a Master of Management degree from the Kellogg School of Management at Northwestern University.

"It's a very exciting time to be associated with Enzo. The current management team has the full support of the independent directors, and we are very pleased at the recent progress the Company has made to bring its game-changing platforms and products to the MDx marketplace. Enzo operates in a complicated business, which has required patience from all stakeholders. Fortunately, we have arrived at the point where Enzo is beginning to harvest its years of creativity and hard work."


Dov Perlysky, Enzo Director



BERNARD L. KASTEN, M.D

Mr. Kasten is an Independent Director at Enzo Biochem, Inc.. Dr. Kasten has served as a director and Executive Chairman of GeneLink Inc. since 2007 and CEO since December 2010 (GNLK: OTCBB). He served as Chairman of the Board of Cleveland Biolabs, Inc. (CBLI: NASDAQ) from 2006 to 2013. From 1996 to 2004, Dr. Kasten served at Quest Diagnostics Incorporated (DGX: NYSE) where he was Chief Laboratory Officer, Vice President of Business Development for Science and Medicine and most recently as Vice President of Medical Affairs of its MedPlus Inc. subsidiary. Dr. Kasten served as a Director of SIGA Technologies (SIGA: NASDAQ) from May 2003 to December 2006, and as SIGA's Chief Executive Officer from July 2004 through April 2006. Dr. Kasten is a graduate of the Ohio State University College of Medicine. His residency was served at the University of Miami, Florida and he was awarded fellowships at the National Institutes of Health Clinical Center (NIH), Bethesda, Maryland. He is a diplomat of the American Board of Pathology with certification in Anatomic and Clinical Pathology and sub-specialty certification in Medical Microbiology

THE CHOICE FOR SHAREHOLDERS IS CLEAR!



- ✓ A business model and operational structure that are **positioned** to address the imminent needs of the growing but challenged MDx industry
- ✓ The ability to **further leverage Enzo's vertically integrated** business structure to create value for shareholders
- ✓ A board and management team with a **deep understanding of the MDx marketplace** and a **proven track record of developing valuable biotech innovations**, the value of which is now being harvested
- ✓ An **ownership culture** in which directors and management do own shares of common stock – their interests are perfectly aligned with the interests of long-term shareholders



Lone Star



- ✗ **Disruption of Enzo's current trajectory** by an investor that openly admits he *"doesn't understand our business"*⁽¹⁾
- ✗ A 67% change in independent directors by a 1.2% shareholder who **has not put forth a single idea** on how they would create value for shareholders
- ✗ An investor and nominees with **innumerable interconnected relationships** that appear to have an **abysmal track record**
- ✗ An investor who has bought 1,916,784 shares and sold 2,201,784 stock over the past two years – a shareholder that we believe trades in Enzo's stock opportunistically⁽²⁾

(1) Lone Star discussion with the Company

(2) Lone Star Value Investors Schedule 14A, filed with SEC on December 2, 2015

The Choice for Shareholders Is Clear:
Enzo Is Positioned to Thrive **NOW**



Appendix

December 2015
www.enzo.com

AMPIPROBE™

Less Sample	✓ Allows paneling/reduced reaction volume/lower prep costs
Multiplex Capability	✓ Able to run up to 30 assays simultaneously
Zero Background	✓ After more than 60 cycles ✓ Competitors have issues
100% Concordance	✓ Existing FDA-approved PCR technologies
Flexible, Adaptable & Universal	✓ Any open/dedicated system
Adaptive	✓ Fits into laboratory workflow seamlessly

FLOWSCRIPT™

Multiplex Capability	✓ Simultaneous examinations of each cell in a sample
Higher Efficiency	✓ Designed to reduce hands-on time
Consistent	✓ Elimination of steps that can cause fluctuation in results
Flexible, Adaptable & Universal	✓ Work with virtually any flow cytometer with protocols that they are used to
Compatible with High-Through Instrumentation	✓ Scalability can reduce marginal cost
Broad Applicability	✓ Able to measure genomic activity, not just detect protein ✓ Immune-mediated disorders, cancer, infectious diseases, drug development
Adaptive	✓ Fits into laboratory workflow seamlessly

AMPIPROBE™ HCV

AMPIPROBE™ TEST PLATFORM DEVELOPED FROM IN-HOUSE TECHNOLOGIES

- AMPIPROBE can be run on virtually all commonly available open systems
- This test platform is formatted for both multiplexing⁽¹⁾ and paneling⁽²⁾
 - *This increases the number of tests that can be run on a single specimen*
 - *It **reduces the cost** per test – and **reduces the need for** patients to provide, and directions to obtain, **additional specimens***
- Because of HCV's complex biological structure, an HCV diagnostic test is difficult to develop
 - As a result, we were able to use this product as a good example of how robust the AMPIPROBE platform is
 - Approved by the New York State Department of Health – extremely stringent validation process
- We tested AMPIPROBE side-by-side versus leading competitors' products under actual lab conditions
 - *Our test **exceeds the specifications of the market leaders'** tests*
 - ***Clinically validated** on 400 previously characterized human specimens*
- This test fits into a customer's workflow seamlessly – no additional training is required

Large, Existing Opportunity

- According to the World Health Organization (WHO), globally 130 million to 150 million people are suffering from chronic hepatitis C
- In 2014, the U.S. MDx HPV market size was estimated to be over \$200 million⁽³⁾

AMPIPROBE HCV SELLS FOR UP TO 50% OFF FROM MARKET – GIVING BOTH ENZO AND LABS ACCEPTABLE MARGINS RELATIVE TO CURRENT REIMBURSEMENT LEVELS

(1) Simultaneous amplification of several DNA sequences

(2) Ability to run multiple different tests from the same unique specimen

(3) DeciBio

AMPIPROBE™ HCV: HUGE OPPORTUNITY



World Health
Organization

- ④ Approximately 500,000 people die each year from hepatitis C-related liver diseases
- ④ 130-150 million people globally have chronic hepatitis C infection
- ④ Early diagnosis can prevent health problems that may result from infection and prevent transmission of the virus. WHO recommends screening for people who may be at increased risk of infection

WE ESTIMATE THE WORLDWIDE HCV MARKET SIZE IS OVER \$300 MILLION⁽¹⁾

(1) Company estimates

FLOWSCRIPT™ HPV E6/E7

FLOWSCRIPT™ PLATFORM DEVELOPED FROM IN-HOUSE TECHNOLOGIES

- FLOWSCRIPT is formatted for use with commonly available lab instrumentation
 - It is ***clinically validated*** across numerous instrument manufacturers, for virtually all high-risk HPV subtypes, on more than 1,500 specimens, and using both leading sample collection devices to assure the widest possible utility
- FLOWSCRIPT represents an expansion of Enzo's women's health-related products and services
 - This test measures activity of genes that may indicate progression toward cervical cancer
- Approved by the New York State Department of Health – extremely stringent validation process
- This test fits into a customer's workflow seamlessly – no additional training is required

Growing Opportunity

- The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention estimates that nearly 80 million people – about one in four – are currently affected with HPV in the United States
- Currently, growth is driven by rising prevalence of cervical cancer and the growing emphasis on early detection

ENZO IP PROVIDES DURABLE BARRIER TO ENTRY – A HIGHLY VALUABLE ASSET IN A HIGH-GROWTH MARKET

FLOWSCRIPT™ HPV E6/E7: GROWTH POTENTIAL



Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
CDC 24/7: Saving Lives, Protecting People™

- ④ Cervical cancer is the most common HPV-associated cancer among women
- ④ In general, HPV is thought to be responsible for more than 90% of anal and cervical cancers⁽¹⁾
- ④ Most people who become infected with HPV do not know they have it... By age 50, at least four out of every five women will have been infected with HPV at one point in their lives

GLOBAL HPV TESTING MARKET IS PROJECTED TO EXCEED \$500 MILLION BY 2020⁽²⁾

(1) Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

(2) Global Industry Analysts, Inc.

Shareholders



Bruce H. Goldfarb/Patrick J. McHugh/Michael Fein
(212) 297-0720 / (877) 629-6356
info@okapipartners.com